Cherro

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00772A000300020071-8 $^{\rm July~3,~1978}$

SUBJECT:

NOTE FOR:
.
FROM:

State INR issue paper

25X1

This paper is a reasonably clear statement of the issues now, but we may want to modify it in a couple of ways:

- 1. If we are going to direct the program manager to present another enhanced package, we can approve that later, but approving it is not something we do at program time before we've seen it. So alternative 3 needs to be rewritten a little bit.
- 2. The first paragraph of the output impact statement should add in conclusion that INR consistently gets very good marks in the OMB consumer survey. Because of that we may want to increase the resources at their command.
- 3. The second paragraph of the output impact statement reflects misunderstanding of the agreement between the Secretary of State and the DCI. The language that requires the DCI to consult

Approved For Release 2004/07/08: CIA-RDP80M00772A000300020071-8 with the Secretary in setting INR's budget is exactly the same as the language in

is exactly the same as the language in the Executive Order. So there's no special constraint on the DCI in dealing with INR. Therefore the other side of the output impact is simply that there would be x dollars and y positions that could not be used somewhere else in the NFIP. Presumably we can estimate what those would be.

_____ 25X1

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Chem

Approved For Release 2004/07/08: CIA-RDP80M00772A000300020071-8

July 3, 1978

25X1

NOTE FOR:	
FROM:	

As I discussed with Bill on Saturday, I think we ought to do something more with the distribution of DDO effort. It would be helpful to have an issue paper that addresses the shifting emphasis of the DDO among Europe, the Soviet Union, Africa and other targets. Some shifts would seem to be implied by the changes in U.S. interest in these areas, and it would be helpful to have the agency response highlighted for the Director. As I look through the CIA submission, I think they are responding, but we should have an issue paper that details the changing allocation of effort-dollars and manpower--and raises the question of whether some of the austere or enhanced packages should be shifted in priority to reflect changing U.S. policy interest.